# Investigation of Effective Parameters on Pulsed Nd:YAG Passive Q-Switched Laser

**M. Erfani Jazi[a*], M. Soltanolkotabi[a, c],
M. Dehghan Baghi [b], and M. Hajimahmoodzadeh[a, c]**

**[a]Dept. of Physics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran
[b]Isfahan Electro Optic Industries (IOI), Isfahan, Iran
[c]Quantum Optics Group, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran**

**\*Corresponding author:** mary.erf.1362@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT— In this paper, we report the experimental results of a pulsed flash lamped Nd:YAG laser at wavelength of 1064 nm and Q-switched by Cr^{4+}:YAG solid state saturable absorber. We have obtained the output energy (*E*) and pulse-width ($\tau_p$) of this laser for various initial transmissions of this saturable absorber. Furthermore, the effect of reflectivity of the output coupler (*R*), diameter of the rod (*d*), and optical length of the cavity (*l*) on the laser output has been investigated. We have used the corner cube as a back mirror. We have obtained pulse-width 15ns with 31 mJ output energy. We have also analyzed this laser theoretically which agrees well with our corresponding experimental results.**

**KEYWORDS:** Q-switching, Passive Q-switching, Pulse width, Saturable Absorber, Cr^{4+}:YAG.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Passively Q-switched solid-state lasers [1-4] are playing an important role in many applications, such as range finders, pollution detection, lidars, medical equipments, laser cutting and drilling, and nonlinear optical studies.

One method to Q-switch a laser passively is using a saturable absorber, in which a material with high absorption at the laser wavelength is placed inside the laser resonator and prevent laser oscillation until the population inversions reaches a value exceeding the combined optical losses inside the cavity [1, 5].

There are various kind of saturable absorbers in the literature to name a few, dyes [6] , bulk semiconductors [7], gases [8], and absorbing ions or color centers, either in a separate crystal [9] or co doped with the active laser medium in a monolithic structure [10].

In recent years, Cr^{4+} doped crystals have attracted a great deal of attention as passive Q-switchers [2-4,11-15] .These Cr^{4+}-doped crystal include Cr^{4+}:YAG [2,3,12,13,15,16] Cr^{4+}:GsGG [11], Cr^{4+}:Yso [4], etc. These crystals have a large absorption cross section and low saturable intensity at the laser wavelength. In comparison with other saturable absorber they are more photochemically and thermally stable and have a higher damage threshold. They can be used to Q-switch pulsed [2-4, 11, 12, 16] and continuously pumped lasers[13,15]. Moreover, Cr^{4+} can be co doped with amplifying medium in a monolithic structure to form self-Q-switching lasers [15].

Degnan has presented the theory of the optimally coupled Q-switched laser in 1989, in which the general equations describing Q-switched lasers operation has been reached [17]. He has also introduced the theory of optimization of passively Q-switched lasers in 1995, in which an estimate of lasers key

parameters can be obtained simply and quickly [18].

Xiao and Bass in 1997 [5].by recognizing the effect of excited state absorption (ESA) in saturable absorber such as $Cr^{4+}$:YAG has extended a general model of Degnan's work.

Chen [19], has shown that in these lasers, the initial population density in the gain medium, $n_i$, depends on the initial transmission of the saturable absorber, $T_0$ and the output mirror reflectivity, $R$. Furthermore they have obtained the equations which relate the output parameters in terms of $R$ and $T_0$.

In this paper, we have investigated the influence of $R$, $T_0$ and the effect of laser rod diameter and cavity length on the pulse width, $\tau_p$ output energy, $E$, and output peak power, $P$.

The paper is organized as fallows: the theory is described in Section II, the experimental setups and results are demonstrated in Section III and the paper is concluded in Section IV.

## II. THEORY

Considering $Cr^{4+}$:YAG saturable absorber as a four-level system introduced by Fig. 1, we have the following rate equations [18, 21] :

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dt} = \frac{\varphi}{t_r}\Big[ 2\sigma n l_g - 2\sigma_{gs} n_{gs} l_s - 2\sigma_{es} n_{es} l_s - \qquad (1)$$
$$\Big(\ln\big(1/R\big) + L\Big)\Big]$$

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = -\gamma c \sigma \varphi n, \qquad (2)$$

$$\frac{dn_{gs}}{dt} = -\frac{A}{A} c \sigma_{gs} \varphi n_{gs}, \qquad (3)$$

$$n_{gs} + n_{es} = n_{so}, \qquad (4)$$

where $\varphi$ is intracavity photon density, $n$ is population inversion density of the gain medium, $l_g$ and $l_s$ are gain medium length and saturable absorber thickness, respectively, $A/A_s$ is the ratio of the effective area in the

gain medium and in the saturable absorber, $L$ is the round-trip dissipative optical loss, $n_{gs}$, $n_{es}$, and $n_{so}$ are respectively the populations of the ground, excited and total densities, $\sigma_{gs}$ and $\sigma_{es}$ are respectively the ground state absorption (GSA) and excited state absorption (ESA) cross section in the saturable absorber, $R$ is the output mirror reflectively, $\gamma$ is the inversion reduction factor [18], and $t_r = \dfrac{2l}{c}$ is the round trip transit time of light in the cavity optical length $l$, and $c$ is the speed of light in vacuum.



**Fig. 1**: The four-level model of $Cr^{4+}$:YAG saturable absorber.

The corresponding absorption cross section of levels 1-3 and 2-4 near by the laser wavelength are $\sigma_{gs} = 8.7 \times 10^{-19} cm^2$ and $\sigma_{es} = 2 \times 10^{-19} cm^2$, respectively [2] and:

$$n_{gs} = n_{so}\left(n/n_i\right)^{\alpha}. \qquad (5)$$

where $\alpha = \dfrac{\sigma_{gs} A}{\gamma \sigma A_s}$ and $n_i$ is the initial population inversion density in the gain medium. $n_i$ is determined form the condition that the round trip gain is exactly equal to the round trip losses just before the Q-switch opens(the first threshold condition for starting pulse build up). Thus:

$$n_i = \frac{Ln\left(1/T_0^2\right) + Ln\left(1/R\right) + L}{2\sigma l}. \qquad (6)$$

where $T_0$ is the initial transmissions of the saturable absorber and is given by:

$$T_0 = \exp\left(-\sigma_{gs} n_{so} l_s\right) \tag{7}$$

The saturated transmission of the saturable absorber is:

$$T_s = \exp\left(-\sigma_{es} n_{so} l_s\right) \tag{8}$$

From (7) and (8), we obtain:

$$\beta = \frac{\sigma_{es}}{\sigma_{gs}} = \frac{Ln(T_s)}{Ln(T_0)} \tag{9}$$

where $\beta$ is an important parameter of saturable absorber and indicates the degree of ESA to (GSA), which can be obtained by the measurement of $T_0$ and $T_s$ as discussed in [2].

Now we see the effects of the intracavity focusing ($\alpha$) and ESA ($\beta$) in the coupled rate equation.

It is worth mentioning that the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are determined from the cavity configuration and the physical properties of the gain medium and the saturable absorber.

In order to have growth of the photon intensity, the following threshold condition should be satisfied [19]:

$$\left.\frac{d^2\varphi}{dn^2}\right|_{n=n_i} > 0 \tag{10}$$

This is called the second threshold condition. $T_{0,Max}$ is obtained from (10):

$$T_{0,MAX} = \exp\left(-\frac{L - \ln(R)}{2(\alpha(1-\beta)-1)}\right) \tag{11}$$

this gives a giant pulse.

On the other hand, we need $E$, $P$, and $\tau_p$ which are given by [17] and [19, 20]:

$$E_{out} = \frac{h\nu\,A}{2\sigma\gamma}\ \ln(1/R)\left[\frac{(1-\beta)\ln\left(1/T_0^2\right)}{\beta\ln\left(1/T_0^2\right)+\ln(1/R)+L}\right]\times$$
$$\left[1-\left(T_0/T_{0\max}\right)^{\varepsilon}\right]z(\alpha,\beta) ,$$

$$\tag{12}$$

$$P = \frac{h\nu A l_g}{\gamma t_r} Ln(1/R)\Big[\, n_i - n_t - n_{t_0} Ln\left(n_i/n_f\right) -$$

$$\left(n_i - n_{t_0}\right) 1/\alpha\left(1-\left(n_t/n_i\right)^{\alpha}\right)\Big] \tag{13}$$

$$\tau_p = E/P. \tag{14}$$

where $n_i$ and $n_t$ are the population density of the gain medium when the laser action starts and when the laser output power peaks respectively. $n_f$ indicates the population density of the gain medium for zero photon density and:

$$n_{t0} = \frac{Ln(1/R) + \beta Ln\left(1/T_0^2\right) + L}{2\sigma l} \tag{15}$$

Also the following relations exist between $n_t/n_i$, $n_f/n_i$, and $n_{t0}/n_i$ [20]:

$$\frac{n_t}{n_i} = \frac{n_{t0}}{n_i} + \left(1 - \frac{n_{t0}}{n_i}\right)\left(\frac{n_t}{n_i}\right)^{\alpha} \tag{16}$$

$$1 - \frac{n_f}{n_i} + \left(\frac{n_{t0}}{n_i}\right)\ln\left(\frac{n_f}{n_i}\right) -$$
$$\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(1 - \frac{n_{t0}}{n_i}\right)\left[1 - \left(\frac{n_f}{n_i}\right)^{\alpha}\right] = 0 \tag{17}$$

In order to determined the output energy ,E , the output peak power, $P$, and the pulse-width, $\tau_p$, from the above equations, we need the following parameters: $T_0$, $R$, $d$, $t_r$, $n_t/n_i$, $n_f/n_i$, $z(\alpha,\beta)$, $\varepsilon$ and $n_{t0}/n_i$.

By using relations (6) and (15) $n_i$ and $n_{t0}$ can be obtained. $z(\alpha,\beta)$, $\varepsilon$ can also be obtained numerically [19]. To obtain $n_t/n_i$ and $n_f/n_i$ we have plotted the Figs. (2) and (3) to extract there values.

**Fig. 2** Dependence of $n_t/n_i$ to $n_{t0}/n_i$ for different $\alpha$ values of (a) 1.5 (b) 3.11, and (c) 5.



**Fig. 3** Dependence of $n_f/n_i$ to $n_{t0}/n_i$ for different $\alpha$ values of (a) 1.5 (b) 3.11, and (c) 5.

To give an example, for $R$=50%, $T_0$=40%, $d = 4\,mm$, $l = 45\,cm$ we have calculated $E = 29\,mJ$, and $\tau_p$=17ns which agrees well with experimental results as discussed in section 3 (Table1).

For theoretically investigation the effect of R and $T_0$ on output energy, we draw $E_{out}$ according to relation (12). As we see in Fig. (4) for the constant value of $T_0$, by increasing output mirror reflectivity output energy has

also been increased until output reflectivity reached near $T_0$.

Dependence of output energy on rod diameter has been depicted in Fig. (5). For the different value of rod radius $r$ =0.15, 0.2, and 0.25 (cm) we draw $E_{out}(J)$ according to relation (12). It is clear that by increasing rod diameter, $E_{out}$ has also been increased.



.

**Fig. 4** Dependence of $E_{out}$ on output reflectivity for different $T_0$, from up to down: $T_0$=0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, and 0.8.



**Fig. 5** Dependence of $E_{out}(J)$ on rod radius for different $r$ values of (a) 0.15, (b) 0.2, and (c) 0.25.

## III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

To investigate the effect of parameters such as 1) reflectivity of the output coupler, $R$, 2) initial transmission of the saturable absorber, $T_0$, 3) diameter of the gain medium, $d$, and 4) cavity optical length, $l$, on the output parameters such as peak power, $P$, output energy, $E$, and pulse-width, $\tau_P$, we have used

our homemade experimental arrangement as show in Fig. (6). In this figure we have utilized the corner cube as a back mirror (1), the Cr:YAG crystal as saturable absorber (2), the Nd:YAG (3) as a gain medium and finally the output coupler(4). We have used a flash lamp to pump the gain medium.



**Fig. 6** Cr:YAG Q-switched Nd:YAG laser: 1- corner cube, 2- Cr:YAG, 3- Nd:YAG, and 4- output coupler.

We have used various rod diameter, and cavity length, and also different $T_0$, and $R$ in these investigation, which are explained in the following three set ups.

### A.  *First Setup*

We have used the Nd:YAG rod with $d = 4\,mm$ and have measured the pulse width (FWHM) and the output energy for the output coupler of $R$=40%, 50% and the Cr:YAG with $T_0$=40%, and 50%. These results are demonstrated in table1.

We notice a significant change on output energy. As we can see by increasing $T_0$, pulse-width has been increased but output energy decreased. Also, by increasing $R$ the output energy has been increased.

**TABLE 1:** LASER OUTPUT ENERGY (MJ) AND PULSE-WIDTH (NS) FOR VARIOUS $R$ AND $T_0$, $d = 4\,mm$ AND $l = 45\,cm$.

| Initial Transmission ($T_0$) | 50% | | 40% | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Output Reflectivity ($R$) | 50% | 40% | 50% | 40% |
| Output Energy (mJ) | 23 | 18 | 29 | 23 |
| Pulse-width (ns) | 18 | 17 | 17 | 16 |
| Input Energy (J) | 6 | 5 | 7 | 6 |

### B.  *Second Setup*

We have shortened the cavity length from 45cm to 30 cm and have repeated the above experiment and the results are summarized in Table 2.

The shortest pulse width that we have obtained in this set up was about $\tau_P$ =15ns and output energy was about $E$=31mJ.

**TABLE 2:** LASER OUTPUT ENERGY (MJ) AND PULSE-WIDTH (NS) FOR VARIOUS $R$ AND $T_0$ $d = 4\,mm$ AND $l = 30\,cm$.

| Output Reflectivity ($R$) | 50% | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Initial Transmission ($T_0$) | 60% | 50% | 40% |
| Input Energy (J) | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Output Energy (mJ) | 17 | 22 | 31 |
| Pulse-width (ns) | 16 | 16 | 15 |

### C.  *Third Setup*

We have investigated the effect of the diameter of gain medium on output energy. For this reason we have used the Nd:YAG rod with diameter of $d$=5mm instead of 4 mm. In this case due to high heat generation we have water cooled the rod. In this stage we have repeated the experiment as explained in first set up and we have attained the results as shown in Table 3. Again we tested the effect of reflectivity of the output coupler and initial transmission of the saturable absorber on output energy and pulse width just like first set up.

**TABLE 3:** LASER OUTPUT ENERGY (MJ) AND PULSE-WIDTH (NS) FOR VARIOUS $R$ AND $T_0$, AND $d = 5\,mm$.

| Initial Transmission ($T_0$) | 50% | | 40% | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Output Reflectivity ($R$) | 50% | 40% | 50% | 40% |
| Output Energy (mJ) | 30 | 25 | 41 | 38 |
| Pulse-width (ns) | 20 | 22 | 17 | 20 |

As the rod diameter increases, the output energy increases, which is due to the increasing the effective area in the gain medium (A).

Figs. 7 and 8 show the experimental values (shown as dots) and the theoretical prediction, (for only two values of output mirrors, $R$=40% and 50%). Unfortunately, future experimental data could not be achieved due to lake of required output mirrors. It is worth mentioning that in Fig. 7, for rod diameter of $d$=4mm we

have analytically drawn output energy as a function of output reflectivity for different $T_0$=40%, and 50% corresponding to our experimental values (as labeled). In Fig. 8, we have repeated above but with the rod diameter of $d$=5 mm.



**Fig. 7** Output energy as a function of output reflectivity for rod diameter 4mm: a) $T_0$=40%, b) $T_0$=50%.



**Fig. 8** output energy as a function of output reflectivity for rod diameter 5mm: a) $T_0$=40%, b) $T_0$=50%.

It is worth mentioning that in all these three set ups we have obtained our measurement on the basis of single pulse operation as indicated in Fig. 9.

On the other hand, by increasing the input energy, we have also observed output profile in the form of multi-pulse [2] as expected and is shown in Fig. 10.



**Fig. 9** Single pulse output $\tau_P$ =15ns.



**Fig. 10** Double pulse output.

The multiple spikes on Fig. 8 results from bleaching of the $Cr^{4+}$ absorption centers in the $Cr^{4+}$:YAG samples, which then allows the buildup of a new population inversion in the laser rod while flash is pumping and this leads to establishing the conditions for a new spike. By increasing input energy the output energy has been increased suddenly and double pulse has been generated (Fig. 11).

Using corner cube as a back mirror leads to output profile seems to petal like and that is due to incident of light on vertex angle of corner cube prism as shown in Fig. 12.

**Fig. 11** Output energy vs. input energy.



**Fig. 12** Output beam profile.

## IV. CONCLUSION

By using pulsed Nd:YAG passive Q-switched laser with $Cr^{4+}$:YAG crystal, we have investigated the influence of different initial transmission of saturable absorber, reflectivity of the output coupler, diameter of the rod, and optical length of the cavity theoretically and have obtained first and second condition to produce the giant pulses. Furthermore , we have introduced three experimental set up to investigate the influence of different initial transmission of the saturable absorber, reflectivity of the output coupler, diameter of the rod, and optical length of the cavity on pulse-width and output energy. The results agree well with those of theory predicted.

## REFERENCES

[1] A. E. Siegman, *laser Q-switching*, Chap. 26 in laser, University Science Books, Mill Valley, CA, 1986.

[2] Y. Shimony, Z. Burshtein, and Y. Kalisky, "Cr:YAG as passive Q-switch and Brewster plate in a pulsed Nd:YAG laser," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. Vol. 31, pp. 1738-1741, 1995.

[3] P. Yankov, "$Cr^{4+}$:YAG Q-switching of Nd: host laser oscillators," J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. Vol. 27, pp. 1118-1120, 1994.

[4] Y.K. Kuo, M.F. Huang, and M. Birnbaum, "Tunable $Cr^{4+}$: YSO Q-Switched CnLiCAF Laser," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. Vol. 31, pp. 657-663, 1995.

[5] G. Xiao and M. Bass, "A generalized model for passively Q-switched lasers including excited state absorption in the saturable absorber," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. Vol. 33, pp. 41-44, 1997.

[6] X. Zhang, S. Zhao, Q. Wang, Y. Liu, and J. Wang, "Optimization of dye Q-switched lasers," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. Vol. 30, pp. 905-908, 1994.

[7] Y. Tsou, E. Garmire, W. Chen, M. Birnbaum, and R. Asthana, "Passive Q switching of Nd:YAG lasers by use of bulk semiconductors," Opt. Lett. Vol. 18, pp. 1514-1516, 1993.

[8] O.R. Wood and S.E. Schwarz, "Passive Q-switching of a $CO_2$ laser," Appl. Phys. Lett. Vol. 11, pp. 88-89, 1967.

[9] J.A. Morris and C.R. Pollock, "Passive Q switching of a diode-pumped Nd:YAG laser with a saturable absorber," Opt. Lett. Vol. 15, pp. 440-442, 1990.

[10] J.J. Zayhowski, "Passively Q-switched Nd: YAG microchip lasers and applications, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. Vol. 303, pp. 393-400, 2000.

[11] W. Chen, K. Spariosu, R. Stultz, Y.K. Kuo, M. Birnbaum, A.V. Shestakov, $Cr^{4+}$:GSGG saturable absorber Q-switch for the ruby laser," Opt. Commun. Vol. 104, pp. 71-74, 1993.

[12] J.J. Zayhowski and C. Dill Iii, "Diode-pumped passively Q-switched picosecond microchip lasers," Opt. Lett. Vol. 19, pp. 1427-1429, 1994.

**[13]** Y. Shimony, Z. Burshtein, A.B.A. Baranga, Y. Kalisky, and M. Strauss, "Repetitive Q-switching of a CW Nd: YAG laser using Cr: YAG saturable absorbers," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. Vol. 32, pp. 305-310, 1996.

**[14]** K. Spariosu, W. Chen, R. Stultz, M. Birnbaum, and A.V. Shestakov, "Dual Q switching and laser action at 1.06 and 1.44 µm in a $Nd^{3+}$:YAG-$Cr^{4+}$:YAG oscillator at 300 K," Opt. Lett. Vol. 18, pp. 814-816, 1993.

**[15]** S. Zhou, K.K. Lee, Y.C. Chen, and S. Li, "Monolithic self-Q-switched Cr,Nd:YAG laser," Opt. Lett. Vol. 18, pp. 511-512, 1993.

**[16]** K. Spariosu, W. Chen, R. Stultz, M. Birnbaum, and A.V. Shestakov, "Dual Q switching and laser action at 1.06 and 1.44 µm in a $Nd^{3+}$:YAG-$Cr^{4+}$:YAG oscillator at 300 K," Opt. Lett. Vol. 18, pp. 814-816, 1993.

**[17]** J.J. Degnan, "Theory of the optimally coupled Q-switched laser," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. Vol. 25, pp. 214-220, 1989.

**[18]** J.J. Degnan, "Optimization of passively Q-switched lasers," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. Vol. 31, pp. 1890-1901, 1995.

**[19]** Y.P. Chen, Y.P. Lan, and H.L. Chang, "Analytical model for design criteria of passively Q-switched lasers," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. Vol. 37, pp. 462-468, 2001.

**[20]** X. Zhang, S. Zhao, Q. Wang, Q. Zhang, L. Sun, and S. Zhang, "Optimization of Cr-doped saturable-absorber Q-switched lasers," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. Vol. 33, pp. 2786-2794, 1997.

**[21]** X. Guohua and M. Bass, "A generalized model for passively Q-switched lasers including excited state absorption in the saturable absorber," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. Vol. 33, pp. 41-44, 1997.

University of Shahreza, where she received her B.Sc. in 2006. She studied Atomic Molecular physics at Isfahan University and received her M.Sc. in 2010. Her current interest is focused on solid state lasers and ultra-short pulse generation.



**Mahdi Dehghan Baghi** was born in Yazd, Iran in 1983. He received his B.Sc. in Applied Physics at Yazd University in 2005, and his M.Sc. degree in Electro-Optic Engineering at MUT in 2008. His current interests are nonlinear optics, mode locked Nd:YAG lasers and solid state lasers.



**Morteza Hajimahmoodzadeh** was born in Tehran, Iran, in 1964. He studied Physics at Isfahan University and received his B.Sc. and M.Sc. in 1988 and 1992, respectively. He received Ph.D. at Moscow State University in 2005. He has started his work as a lecturer in University of Isfahan since 1992.



**Marziyeh Erfani Jazi** was born in Isfahan, Iran 1984. She studied Physics at Azad